

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Masters-Hall
Given Name	Robert
Person ID	1287301
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Masters-Hall
Given Name	Robert
Person ID	1287301
Title	Our Vision
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Family Name	Masters-Hall
Given Name	Robert
Person ID	1287301
Title	JPA 8: Seedfield
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>1. It is not clear how the existing infrastructure will be affected by this development.</p> <p>Parkinson Street is a narrow road that affords access to two well used, longstanding community facilities.</p> <p>They both rely on Parkinson Street for parking . Both of the facilities are heavily used by older, mobility challenged people who fully rely on their vehicles to get access to the facilities.</p>

	<p>The increase in traffic down Parkinson Street has to be avoided as a result of this development. The existing road will not sustain the development without a serious impact on the existing neighbourhood.</p> <p>2. It is not clear how the issue of already overcrowded schools will cope with a surge in demand following this development.</p> <p>3. The existing sports facilities that are well used will be lost forever.</p>
<p>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</p>	<p>The access to the site should be made by an alternative road that has the capacity to cope with the 280+ vehicles (Based on two per dwelling) that will result.</p> <p>Retain the playing field for community use, health and well being for not only the new development but also the existing neighbourhood who are proud to live in "Seedfield"</p>